#### CS 600.226: Data Structures Michael Schatz

Nov 26, 2016 Lecture 34: Advanced Sorting



#### Assignment 9: StringOmics

Out on: November 16, 2018 Due by: November 30, 2018 before 10:00 pm Collaboration: None Grading:

Packaging 10%, Style 10% (where applicable), Testing 10% (where applicable), Performance 10% (where applicable), Functionality 60% (where applicable)

#### Overview

The ninth assignment focuses on data structures and operations on strings. In this assignment you will implement encoding and decoding using the Burrows Wheeler Transform as well as encoding and decoding in a simple form of run length encoding. In the final problem you will be asked to measure the space savings using run length encoding with and without applying the Burrows Wheeler Transform first.

Remember: javac –Xlint:all & checkstyle \*.java & Junit (No JayBee)

# Part I: StringOmics Recap

# **Personal Genomics**

How does your genome compare to the reference?



## Suffix Arrays: Searching the Phone Book

- What if we need to check many queries?
  - We don't need to check every page of the phone book to find 'Schatz'
  - Sorting alphabetically lets us immediately skip 96% (25/26) of the book without any loss in accuracy
- Sorting the genome: Suffix Array (Manber & Myers, 1991)
  - Sort every suffix of the genome



Split into n suffixes

Sort suffixes alphabetically

[Challenge Question: How else could we split the genome?]

#### **Binary Search Analysis**

• Binary Search

Initialize search range to entire list mid = (hi+lo)/2; middle = suffix[mid] if query matches middle: done else if query < middle: pick low range else if query > middle: pick hi range Repeat until done or empty range

[WHEN?]

[32]

- Analysis
  - More complicated method
  - How many times do we repeat?
    - How many times can it cut the range in half?
    - Find smallest x such that:  $n/(2^x) \le 1$ ; x =  $lg_2(n)$
- Total Runtime: O(m lg n)
  - More complicated, but much faster!
  - Looking up a query loops 32 times instead of 3B

[How long does it take to search 6B or 24B nucleotides?]

#### Exact Matching Review & Overview

Where is GATTACA in the human genome?



\*\*\* These are general techniques applicable to any text search problem \*\*\*

# **Burrows-Wheeler Transform**

• Reversible permutation of the characters in a text



BWT(T) is the index for T

implicitly encodes Suffix Array

A block sorting lossless data compression algorithm. Burrows M, Wheeler DJ (1994) Digital Equipment Corporation. Technical Report 124

# **Burrows-Wheeler Transform**

- Recreating T from BWT(T)
  - Start in the first row and apply LF repeatedly, accumulating predecessors along the way



[Decode this BWT string: ACTGA\$TTA]

# Run Length Encoding

#### ref[614]:

It\_was\_the\_best\_of\_times,\_it\_was\_the\_worst\_of\_times,\_it\_was\_the\_age\_ of\_wisdom,\_it\_was\_the\_age\_of\_foolishness,\_it\_was\_the\_epoch\_of\_belief ,\_it\_was\_the\_epoch\_of\_incredulity,\_it\_was\_the\_season\_of\_Light,\_it\_wa s\_the\_season\_of\_Darkness,\_it\_was\_the\_spring\_of\_hope,\_it\_was\_the\_wint er\_of\_despair,\_we\_had\_everything\_before\_us,\_we\_had\_nothing\_before\_us ,\_we\_were\_all\_going\_direct\_to\_Heaven,\_we\_were\_all\_going\_direct\_the\_o ther\_way\_-\_in\_short,\_the\_period\_was\_so\_far\_like\_the\_present\_period,\_ that\_some\_of\_its\_noisiest\_authorities\_insisted\_on\_its\_being\_received ,\_for\_good\_or\_for\_evil,\_in\_the\_superlative\_degree\_of\_comparison\_only.\$

#### rle(bwt)[464]:

.dlms2ftysesdtrsns\_y\_2\$\_yfofe4tg2sfefefg2e2drofr,l2re2f-,fs,9nfrsdn2 hereghet2edndete2ge2nste2,s5t,es3ns2f2te2dt10r,4e3feh2\_2p\_2fpDw11e2h l\_ew\_5eo2\_ne3oa2eo2\_4seph2r2hvh2w2egmgh7kr2w2h2s2Hr3vtr2ib2dbcbvs\_2t hw2p3vm2irdn2ib\_2eo12\_4e2n6a2i\_3ec2\_2t18s\_tsgltsLlvt2\_3h2o2re\_wr2ad2 wlors\_9r\_2lteiril2re\_oua2no2i2oeo4i3hki6o\_2ieitsp2ioi\_12g2nodsc\_s3\_g fhf\_f3hwh\_nsmo\_2ue2\_sio3ae4o2\_i2cgp2e2aoaeo2e2s2eu2teta11i\_2ei\_in\_2a

<sup>2ie\_e3rei</sup>. Saved 614-464 = 150 bytes (24%) with zero loss of information!

Common to save 50% to 90% on real world files with bzip2

# **BWT Exact Matching**

 LFc(r, c) does the same thing as LF(r) but it ignores r's actual final character and "pretends" it's c:

> LFc(5, g) = 8 a c a a c g a a c g a c a c a a c g a c a c g a c a c a a c g a c a c a a c g a c a c g a c a a c gRank: 2 g a c a a c gF

# **BWT Exact Matching**

 Start with a range, (top, bot) encompassing all rows and repeatedly apply LFc:

top = LFc(top, qc); bot = LFc(bot, qc)

**qc** = the next character to the left in the query



Ferragina P, Manzini G: Opportunistic data structures with applications. FOCS. IEEE Computer Society; 2000.

[Search for TTA this BWT string: ACTGA\$TTA]

## Assembling the first genome



Like Dickens, we must computationally reconstruct a genome from short fragments

#### de Bruijn Graph Construction

- $D_k = (V, E)$ 
  - V = All length-k subfragments (k < l)
  - E = Directed edges between consecutive subfragments
    - Nodes overlap by k-I words



- Locally constructed graph reveals the global sequence structure
  - Overlaps between sequences implicitly computed

de Bruijn, 1946 Idury and Waterman, 1995 Pevzner, Tang, Waterman, 2001



## de Bruijn Graph Assembly



## **Genetic Associations**



https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/diagram

## Part 2: Advanced Sorting

## Suffix Array Construction

How can we store the suffix array?

[How many characters are in all suffixes combined?]

$$S = 1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} = O(n^2)$$

- Hopeless to explicitly store 4.5 billion billion characters
- Instead use implicit representation
  - Keep I copy of the genome, and a list of sorted offsets
  - Storing 3 billion offsets fits on a server (12GB)
- Searching the array is very fast, but it takes time to construct
  - This time will be amortized over many, many searches
  - Run it once "overnight" and save it away for all future queries

TGATTACAGATTACC

#### Quadratic Sorting Algorithms







Selection Sort Move next smallest into position

**Bubble Sort** Swap up bigger values over smaller

Insertion Sort Slide next value into correct position

Asymptotically all three have the same performance: O(n<sup>2</sup>)

Can you do any better than this?

#### **Monkey Sort**

MonkeySort(int [] input) foreach p in allPermutations(input) if p is correctly sorted return p



\$ tail -f heights.16.log

tree[339380400000]: 3 10 16 4 6 1 11 8 2 13 15 12 9 14 7 5 maxheight: 7 tree[339380500000]: 3 10 16 4 6 1 13 14 5 9 8 7 15 2 12 11 maxheight: 7 tree[339380600000]: 3 10 16 4 6 1 5 12 15 8 13 9 14 2 11 7 maxheight: 7 tree[339380700000]: 3 10 16 4 7 6 9 2 13 15 5 12 11 14 8 1 maxheight: 6 tree[339380800000]: 3 10 16 4 7 6 12 8 5 11 9 13 15 1 2 14 maxheight: 7 tree[339380900000]: 3 10 16 4 7 6 14 15 2 5 11 8 9 12 13 1 maxheight: 7 tree[339381000000]: 3 10 16 4 7 5 6 13 9 2 11 12 8 14 15 1 maxheight: 6 tree[3393811000000]: 3 10 16 4 7 5 2 6 15 12 1 13 9 11 8 14 maxheight: 7 tree[339381200000]: 3 10 16 4 7 5 13 8 6 15 2 11 14 12 9 1 maxheight: 7

Considering that there are n! possible permutations, maybe we should be happy with O(n<sup>2</sup>) time



#### Heap Sort

HeapSort(int [] input) h = new MaxHeap() foreach item in input h.add(item)

*©* O(n lg n)

output = new int[]
while(!h.empty())
output.add(h.max())

#### <sup>©</sup> O(n lg n)

#### Improved selection sort:

Divide the input into sorted and unsorted regions, then iteratively shrink the unsorted region by extracting the smallest/largest element. Use a heap rather than a linear-time search to find the min/max in  $O(\lg n)$  time instead of O(n) time.

Yields an overall O(n lg n) runtime ©

Invented by J. W. J. Williams in 1964

#### In Place Heap Sort (MaxHeap)



**Convert unsorted array to heap without any extra space in O(n)** 





#### Heap Sort

HeapSort(int [] input)
h = new MaxHeap()
foreach item in input
h.add(item)

output = new int[]
while(!h.empty())
output.add(h.max())



#### In-place algorithm, worst-case O(n log n) runtime.

Fast running time and constant upper bound on its auxiliary storage ⇒ Embedded systems with real-time constraints or systems concerned with security like the linux kernel often use heapsort

While "provably optimal" often outperformed by alternative algorithms on real world data sets



Key idea: Merging two sorted lists into a new sorted list is easy

Merge these two lists:

List A: 6, 7, 8 List B: 0, 3, 5, 9



Key idea: Merging two sorted lists into a new sorted list is easy

Merge these two lists:

List A: 6, 7, 8 List B: 0, 3, 5, 9

List C:



Key idea: Merging two sorted lists into a new sorted list is easy

Merge these two lists:



List C: 0



Key idea: Merging two sorted lists into a new sorted list is easy

Merge these two lists:



List C: 0,3



Key idea: Merging two sorted lists into a new sorted list is easy

Merge these two lists:

List A: 6, 7, 8 List B: 0, 3, 5, 9

List C: 0,3,5



Key idea: Merging two sorted lists into a new sorted list is easy

Merge these two lists:

List A: 6, 7, 8 List B: 0, 3, 5, 9

List C: 0,3,5,6



Key idea: Merging two sorted lists into a new sorted list is easy

Merge these two lists:

List A: 6, 7, 8 List B: 0, 3, 5, 9

List C: 0,3,5,6,7



Key idea: Merging two sorted lists into a new sorted list is easy

Merge these two lists:

List A: 6, 7, 8 List B: 0, 3, 5, 9

List C: 0,3,5,6,7,8



Key idea: Merging two sorted lists into a new sorted list is easy

Merge these two lists:

List A: 6, 7, 8 List B: 0, 3, 5, 9

List C: 0,3,5,6,7,8,9

Merge two sorted lists in linear time O(sum of the length of the individual lists) ©

Where do these sorted lists come from?

#### Merge Sort

#### Uses the powerful **divide**-and-conquer recursive strategy

Original Array	8	6	7	5	3	0	9
First split	8	6	7	5	3	0	9
Second split	8	6	7	5	3	0	9
Third split	8	6	7	5	3	0	9

How many times can we split an array of length n?

After O(lg n) splits, have n lists each 1 element long that are each trivially sorted

In practice, just start with n lists of 1 element  $\bigcirc$ 

#### Merge Sort

#### Uses the powerful divide-and-conquer recursive strategy

Leaf nodes	8	6	7	5	3	0	9
First merge	8	6	7	3	5	0	9
Second merge	6	7	8	0	3	5	9
Sorted array	0	3	5	6	7	8	9

After O(lg n) merges the entire array will be sorted

Very popular external sorting algorithm (huge data sets on disk) but less popular because it requires O(n) extra memory

#### Quicksort

- Selection sort is slow because it rescans the entire list for each element
  - How can we split up the unsorted list into independent ranges?
  - Hint I: Binary search splits up the problem into 2 independent ranges (hi/lo)
  - Hint 2: Assume we know the median value of a list



[How many times can we split a list in half?]

#### QuickSort Analysis

QuickSort(Input: list of n numbers)
 // see if we can quit
 if (length(list)) <= 1): return list</li>

```
// split list into lo & hi
pivot = median(list)
lo = {}; hi = {};
for (i = I to length(list))
    if (list[i] < pivot): append(lo, list[i])
    else: append(hi, list[i])</pre>
```



http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quicksort

// recurse on sublists
return (append(QuickSort(lo), QuickSort(hi))

• Analysis (Assume we can find the median in O(n))

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} O(1) & \text{if } n \le 1\\ O(n) + 2T(n/2) & \text{else} \end{cases}$$
  
$$T(n) = n + 2(\frac{n}{2}) + 4(\frac{n}{4}) + \dots + n(\frac{n}{4}) = \sum_{i=0}^{lg(n)} \frac{2^{i}n}{2^{i}} = \sum_{i=0}^{lg(n)} n = O(n \lg n)$$

#### QuickSort Analysis

QuickSort(Input: list of n numbers)
 // see if we can quit
 if (length(list)) <= 1): return list</li>

```
// split list into lo & hi
pivot = median(list)
lo = {}; hi = {};
for (i = I to length(list))
    if (list[i] < pivot): append(lo, list[i])
    else: append(hi, list[i])</pre>
```



http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quicksort

// recurse on sublists
return (append(QuickSort(lo), QuickSort(hi))

• Analysis (Assume we can find the median in O(n))

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} O(1) & \text{if } n \le 1\\ O(n) + 2T(n/2) & \text{else} \end{cases}$$
$$T(n) = n + 2(\frac{n}{2}) + 4(\frac{n}{4}) + \dots + n(\frac{n}{n}) = \sum_{i=0}^{lg(n)} \frac{2^{i}n}{2^{i}} = \sum_{i=0}^{lg(n)} n = O(n \lg n)$$

#### Picking the Median

• What if we miss the median and do a 90/10 split instead?



[How many times can we cut 10% off a list?]

# Randomized Quicksort

- 90/10 split runtime analysis  $T(n) = n + T(\frac{n}{10}) + T(\frac{9n}{10})$   $T(n) = n + \frac{n}{10} + T(\frac{n}{100}) + T(\frac{9n}{100}) + \frac{9n}{10} + T(\frac{9n}{100}) + T(\frac{81n}{100})$   $T(n) = n + n + T(\frac{n}{100}) + 2T(\frac{9n}{100}) + T(\frac{81n}{100})$   $T(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{\log_{10/9}(n)} n = O(n \lg n)$ Find smallest x s.t. (9/10)<sup>x</sup> a ≤ 1 (10/9)<sup>x</sup> ≥ n x ≥ log<sub>10/9</sub> n
- If we randomly pick a pivot, we will get at least a 90/10 split with very high probability
  - If picking randomly is expensive, choose the median of 3 items, or median on N items (Ninther) or find overall median without sorting
    - The more time spent picking the pivot, the higher the constants will be
  - Everything is okay as long as we always slice off a fraction of the list

[Challenge Question:What happens if we slice I element]

# QuickSelect

#### How can we find the median in O(n)?

// Returns the k-th smallest element of list within left..right inclusive

// partition() arranges the data between left and right if it is less than or greater than the pivot

#### function select(list, left, right, k)

if left == right // If the list contains only one element,

**return** list[left] // return that element

pivotIndex := ... // select a pivotIndex between left and right,

// e.g., left + floor(rand() % (right - left + 1))



Finds median in O(n) expected time

# 7 5 4 3 2 8 1 1 5 4 3 2 8 7 1 5 4 3 2 8 7 1 5 4 3 2 7 8 1 5 4 3 2 7 8 1 5 4 3 5 7 8 1 2 4 3 5 7 8 1 2 4 3 5 7 8 1 2 4 3 5 7 8 1 2 4 3 5 7 8 1 2 4 3 5 7 8 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 3'd' smallest Element 3'' smallest Element 3'' smallest Element 8 1'' smallest Element

# QuickSort in Java

Arrays.sort()

The goal of software engineering is to build libraries of correct reusable functions that implement higher level ideas

- Build complex software out of simple components
- Software tends to be 90% plumbing, 10% novel work
- You still need to know how they work
  - Java requires an explicit representation of the strings

# java.util.Arrays

····		thread the second se
	Nitra dan tanàn di Norman Keni	30 0 0 9 4 2 H H D H
N	Suntacon & M. 2000 Eller Date 2000 Ellerta 2000 C att 2 Marca NT Contas & Bostrata	Constantiant
Diversion Package Com Use The Depre	cated index Help	#11.717
Prov Class Next Class Frames No Frame Survey Instead (Fail) Corety (Medical Detail Fo	ni Al Classes el Caretr y Maltud	
java.utt		
Class Arrays		
java lang. Otjarot java uti Amaya		
public class Arvays sktends Chiert		
This class contains various methods for manipula	ting arrays (such as surfing and searching). This class also contains a static factory that allows arrays to be viewed as lists.	
The methods in this cless all throw a sullipoint	actacept.com, if the specified array reference is null, except where noted.	
The documentation for the methods contained in algorithms, so long as the specification itself is as	This class includes briefs description of the implementations. Such descriptions should be reparted as implementation notes, rather than parts thered to. (For example, the algorithm used by earl (cts (sen.()) does not have to be a MargaSon, but it does have to be abable.)	of the specification. Implementors should feel free to substitute other
This class is a member of the Java Collections P	urusol.	
Since		
12		
Method Summary		
Methods		
Modifier and Type	Method and Description	
BURED AD PERSON	Research (1211) - Roll	
enetic int.	binaryBearch(byte)) a, byte key)	
	Searches the specified array of bytes for the specified value using the binary search algorithm.	
wistig int	bizzeyRearch(kyte); s. Lit fromindes, Lit taindes, byte key)	
the second second	Searches a range of the specified array of bytes for the specified value using the brany search algorithm.	
814552 Life	Superstantistication of the two of the two and the source using the binary search alter the	
static int	biserpHearch(cher() s, int fromindex, int toladex, char key)	
	Searches a range of the specified array of chars for the specified value using the binary search agorithm.	
static int.	bizaryBearch(double() s, double key)	
	Searches the specified array of doubles for the specified value using the binary search algorithm.	
PLANIE INC	BisseryReservb(double[] s, Lot frieIndex, Lot toIndex, double key) Searches a more of the sparified arms of doubles to the sparified sales (size the binnet south storithm)	
static int	blaardbarch (finatil a. finati key)	
	Searches the specified array of floats for the specified value using the binary search algorithm.	
static int	bisaryBearch(float() s, int fromIndex, int toIndex, float key)	
	Searches a range of the specified array of fixets for the specified value using the binary search algorithm.	

#### Fast sorting for objects that implement the Comparable interface

# java.lang Interface Comparable<T>

🔍 🔍 🧮 Comparable juava Platform II. in 💷

€ C Q I Tron 10000 and a control was/1/0000/ap/ang/Comparatia.html

BO -- U A R D O OR

SALT-LAN

20 Other Backmark

#### 🙌 🔮 JALANSE 🧱 🖄 Dahy 🖬 🖬 y 💩 🦁 🥞 Santasian 🚳 K. 🖄 cari 🖄 pa 🖄 se 🖄 XII 🖄 Mada 🖄 hadi 🔅 Amore KYT Consum 🗶 Backmarks

#### Overview Package Come Use Tree Deprecated Index Help

Prev Class Next Class Frames No Frames Al Classee Survey texted ( Part ( Caretr ) Method Detail Field ( Caretr ) Method

java.lang

#### Interface Comparable<T>

#### Type Panameters:

t - the type of objects that this object may be compared to

#### All Known Subinterfaces:

Datayad, Name, Fath, RunnableScheduledFuture+V+, ScheduledFuture+V+

#### All Known Implementing Classes:

AbstractRegionPaintel PaintDontest CacheMode, AcDitroyFag, Control Status Action, Diagonator Status Action, Status Action, Status Action, Diagonator Status Action, Status Action, Diagonator Status Action, Status Action, Status Action, Diagonator Status Action, Status Action, Status Action, Diagonator Status Action, Status Action, Diagonator Action, Status Action, Status Action, Diagonator Action, Status Action, Act

#### public interface Comparable(T)

This interface imposes a total ordering on the objects of each class that implements 8. This ordering is referred to as the class's natural ordering, and the class's osepare'to method is referred to as its natural companison method.

Lists (and arrays) of objects that implement this interface can be sorted automatically by Dollbect Long. wort, (and Accepts a sort). Objects that implement this interface can be used as keys in a sorted map or as elements in a sorted set, without the need to specify a comparator.

The natural ordering for a class C is said to be consistent with equals E and only E el. compareto(wil)  $\Rightarrow$  0 has the same boolean value as el. equals(wil) for every el and el of class C. Note that will is not an indunos of any class, and el. compareto(will) should throw a BullPelioterEsception even though elegasis(mull) whome false.

It is strongly recommended (though not required) that hatural orderings be consident with equals. This is so because sorted sets (and sorted maps) without explicit comparators behave "strangely" when they are used with elements (or keys) whose natural ordering is inconsistent with equals. In particular, such a sorted set (or sorted map) violates the general contract for set (or map), which is defined in terms of the equals.

For example, Fone adds two keys a and b such that (1 a. equal a (b) as a comparato(b) == 1) to a sorted set that does not use an explicit comparator, the second add operation returns false (and the size of the sorted set does not increase) because a and b are equivalent from the sorted set's perspective.

Virtually all Java core classes that implement coeparula. In here natural orderings that are consistent with equals. One exception is java..exts.bigGecLes1, whose natural ordering equales bligGecLes1 objects with equal values and different precisions (such as 4.0 and 4.00).

For the mathematically inclined, the relation that defines the natural ordering on a given class C is:

{(x, y) such that x.compareTo(y) <= 0}.

The quotient for this total order is:

{(x, y) such that x.compareTo(y) == 0}-

E follows immediately fo ordering is the equivalen C(x, y) such

Make sure your object implements comparesTo(other) <0: Im less than other; 0: Im equal to other; >0: Im greater than other

the natural

# **Advance Sorting Review**







#### Heap Sort

Add everything to a heap, remove from biggest to smallest

O(n lg n) worst case

**Big constants** 

#### Merge Sort

Divide input into n lists, merge pairs of sorted lists as a tree

O(n lg n) worst case

O(n) space overhead

#### QuickSort

Recursively partition input into low/high based on a pivot

> O(n<sup>2</sup>) worse case, O(n lg n) typical Very fast in practice

## Part 3: Really Advanced Sorting

#### **Decision Tree of Searching**

How many comparisons are made to binary search in an array with 3 items?



The decision tree encodes the execution over all possible input values The decision tree is a binary tree, each node encodes exactly 1 comparison The decision tree for searching has n+1 leaf nodes (since there are n+1 "slots" for k)

Searching by comparisons requires at least O(lg n) comparisons (lower bound)

Notice that sorting 3 items (a,b,c) may have 3! = 6 possible permutations

a < b < c a < c < b b < a < c b < c < a c < a < b c < b < a

Initially we don't know which one of these permutations is the correctly sorted version, but we can make pairwise comparisons to figure it out







Notice that we have all 3! = 6 possibilities as leaf nodes

How tall is a tree with n! leaf nodes?

O(lg n!) whattt???

#### What is O(lg n!)

$$lg(n!) = lg(n * (n-1) * (n-2) * ... * 2 * 1)$$

$$Ig(n!) = Ig(n) + Ig(n-1) + Ig(n-2) + \dots + Ig(2) + Ig(1)$$

 $lg(n!) \le lg(n) + lg(n) + lg(n) + ... + lg(n) + lg(n)$ 

#### $lg(n!) \le n lg n$

This is true, but the wrong direction to prove a lower bound. Need to show  $lg(n!) \ge$  something instead

$$= \log n + \log(n-1) + \log(n-2) + \dots + \log 2$$
  

$$= \sum_{i=2}^{n} \log i$$
  

$$= \sum_{i=2}^{n/2-1} \log i + \sum_{i=n/2}^{n} \log i$$
  

$$\geq 0 + \sum_{i=n/2}^{n} \log \frac{n}{2}$$
  

$$= \frac{n}{2} \cdot \log \frac{n}{2}$$
  

$$= \Omega(n \log n)$$



Because Ig grows so slowly, Just sum from n/2 to n

\*Any\* comparison based sorting algorithm requires at least O(n lg n)

#### **Next Steps**

- I. Reflect on the magic and power of Sorting!
- I. Assignment 9 due on Friday November 30 @ 10pm